Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/764,553	CHATTERJEE, DEEPANKAR
	Examiner	Art Unit
	David Guzo	1636
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):		
(1) <u>David Guzo</u> .	(3)	
(2) Renita S. Rathinam.	(4)	
Date of Interview: <u>09 January 2008</u> .		
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant 2)□ applicant's representative]		
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e)⊠ No.	
Claim(s) discussed: <u>All</u> .		
Identification of prior art discussed: None.		
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.		
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Amendments to the claims filed 05/30/07 were discussed. Applicants will submit a supplemental amendment to the claims which should overcome the outstanding 102(b) rejection over Fleischmann et al. The amendment to claim 23 adding the limitation of "proximal" with regard to the 200 base pair promoter fragments is supported by the specification. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.		
	/David Guzo/ Primary Examiner Art Unit 1636 Examiner's signature, if requi	red